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Two-dimensional (2D) antimonene, bismuthene, and their binary compound 2D BiSb possess high spin–orbit coupling (SOC) and potential topological insulator properties upon engineering their structural and chemical properties. Based on many-body first-principles calculations, we show that these materials can exhibit isotropic or anisotropic optoelectronic properties depending on their geometry, i.e. buckled (hb) or asymmetrical washboard (aw) phases. SOC significantly alters their optoelectronic properties, which is predominantly evident in 2D bismuthene. hb-antimonene absorbs light in the visible and partially in the ultraviolet regimes, while the absorption band edge for aw-antimonene, hb- and aw-bismuthene is set at the infrared region, absorption being spread as a broadband optical response through the spectral range. An exciton binding with 0.18 eV energy is detected for hb-bismuthene. Due to their broadband optical response, antimonene, bismuthene, and their binary compound offer possibilities towards applications as 2D materials in solar cells, light-emitting devices, photodetectors and light modulation.

Introduction

Numerous theoretical and experimental studies have revealed crucial features in the electronic structures of suspended monolayers and overlayers of two-dimensional (2D) materials like graphene, transition metal dichalcogenides, as well as elemental/compound semiconductors, and hetero-structures promising for future optoelectronic applications. The synthesis of 2D ultrathin films of black phosphorus from its parent layered crystal, and fabrication of a field effect transistor using micrometer sized phosphorene flakes, has brought 2D allotropes of group-VA elements into focus. On the theoretical front it did not take long to prove that all of the group-VA allotropes of group-VA elements into focus. On the theoretical front it did not take long to prove that all of the group-VA allotropes of group-VA elements into focus. On the theoretical front it did not take long to prove that all of the group-VA allotropes of group-VA elements into focus. On the theoretical front it did not take long to prove that all of the group-VA allotropes of group-VA elements into focus. On the theoretical front it did not take long to prove that all of the group-VA allotropes of group-VA elements into focus.
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SiC substrate, where wide fundamental band gaps and significant SOC effects were observed.\textsuperscript{41}

Building on the aforementioned properties of SL Sb and Bi, it is possible to form a compound of these two elements in order to achieve superior optoelectronic properties, and obtain new target materials by inverse design; a concept that has been gaining growing attention.\textsuperscript{42} In fact, BiSb is already present in nature in a layered bulk crystal form, from which single layers of BiSb can be exfoliated, according to the previous theoretical studies.\textsuperscript{34,44} 2D BiSb is particularly interesting due to the fact that both SL bismuthene and antimonene show high SOC, a precondition of stable topological insulators.\textsuperscript{35} Hence it is crucial to have a complete understanding of the electronic and optical properties of such compounds for future applications.

**Current state of the problem**

The optical properties of antimonene and bismuthene have been studied, with a predominant emphasis on the former.\textsuperscript{35,28,30,31,45,46} Alongside the DFT\textsuperscript{26} and hybrid functional (HSE) calculations (with random-phase approximation (RPA) employed subsequently) of hb- and aw-antimonene,\textsuperscript{20} Shu et al.\textsuperscript{31} studied the optical properties of hb-antimonene using a many-body approach, under the effects of electric field and strain. They reported that a strong electric field closed the band gap of aw-Sb and an increasing strain level resulted in a red-shift of the optical spectra, with enhanced optical absorption within 1.2–2.2 eV. They found the $G_0W_0$ band gap to be indirect and 2.25 eV, and an exciton binding energy of 0.73 eV was calculated. The optical properties of monolayer hb-Sb can also be tuned via surface charge doping by p/n type surface dopants, which revealed enhanced absorption of light especially in the early photon energy regime.\textsuperscript{35} In a recent study employing the many-body Green’s function approach, the fundamental band gap of hb-Sb was predicted as 2.38 eV. Moreover, the optical and luminescence band gaps of SL hb-Sb were found to be 2.3/1.5 eV, resulting in an exciton binding energy of 0.8 eV.\textsuperscript{46} Promising indications of antimonene’s broadband use as a photodetector or optical modulator have been shown recently.\textsuperscript{47,48}

Bismuthene’s optical properties have not been vastly investigated yet, with only a few recent examples of electric field applications or its potential as a photonics-based device,\textsuperscript{45,49–51} hence the light-matter interaction within 2D bismuthene remains an area of interest. While the dynamical stability, electronic structure and topological features of SL BiSb binary compounds have been studied actively,\textsuperscript{34,35,32–56} very little is known about their optical properties. Stable binary compounds of 2D BiSb were systematically investigated from first-principles by Xiao et al.,\textsuperscript{52} who found few stable aw-BiSb alloy structures, one of them having a direct HSE band gap of 0.43 eV. Regarding the effects of strain on the electronic band structure and stability of SL BiSb, the compound turned out to be a semiconductor with a direct band gap as well, reducing under strain, and undergoing a direct–indirect-metal transition when compressive strain is applied.\textsuperscript{53} With the inclusion of SOC in SL hb-BiSb, a Rashba spin-splitting of 13 meV near the Fermi level was observed, which can be tuned by in-plane biaxial strain, making this material a candidate for efficient spin field-effect transistors, optoelectronics, and spintronic devices.\textsuperscript{35} The same material was predicted to be a quantum spin Hall insulator under biaxial strain.\textsuperscript{54}

In this paper, by performing first-principles calculations and incorporating many-body interactions, we show that SL hb and aw phases of Sb and Bi, as well as 2D BiSb, display optical activities that span the infrared (IR), visible and ultraviolet (UV) light regimes partially or entirely, and exhibit either an isotropic or anisotropic optical response depending on their geometrical phases. We present a complete analysis of the optoelectronic properties of 2D Sb and Bi which also shed light on the possible functionalities of their compounds. We calculate these properties using the HSE–SOC + RPA approach, i.e. employing RPA on top of the HSE ground state calculations with spin-orbit coupling effects taken into account. Moreover, we report the HSE–SOC and $G_0W_0$ band gaps of all pristine structures. Finally, we investigate the excitonic effects within the optical properties of two representative materials, hb-Sb and hb-Bi.

**Methodology**

The ground-state electronic structure calculations were performed for the 2D hb- and aw-structures of Sb and Bi, using spin-polarized DFT. The projector-augmented-wave potentials (PAW) formalism\textsuperscript{57–59} implemented in the VASP code\textsuperscript{60,61} was used. The electron exchange and correlation (XC) potential was described by the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) form within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA), with XC correlation potential parameters tuned according to GW parameters.\textsuperscript{62} The plane-wave basis set was used with an energy cutoff of 520 eV for all calculations. Atomic positions were optimized using the conjugate gradient method by minimizing the total energy and atomic forces. The energy difference between the sequential steps was set to 10$^{-6}$ eV for convergence. The maximum allowed force on each atom and Gaussian smearing factor were taken as 0.05 eV Å$^{-1}$ and 0.05 eV, respectively. A Γ-centered 127 × 127 × 1 grid was employed for the Brillouin zone (BZ) integration of the primitive unit cells. In order to avoid spurious interactions between the periodic images, a supercell with minimum ~20 Å vacuum space was adopted.

Subsequent to the lattice optimizations, hybrid functionals (HSE06)$\textsuperscript{63–65}$ and the quasiparticle (QP) non-self consistent $G_0W_0$ approach $[(QP)G_0W_0]_{[66–68}$ where $G$ and $W$ are iterated once, were used (without further ionic relaxation) to obtain the corrected band structures and optical spectra of hb-Sb, hb-Bi, aw-Sb, and aw-Bi. The updated wavefunctions given by HSE are used as a basis for the $[(QP)G_0W_0]$ calculations. RPA\textsuperscript{69,70} was employed on top of the HSE and $G_0W_0$ approaches, using a total of 192 (valence and conduction) bands. Due to the heavy computational workload, the $k$-mesh was reduced to 19 × 19 × 1 and 13 × 13 × 1 for hb- and aw-structures, respectively, while calculating the quasiparticle band energies. The screening was evaluated at 240 frequency points for the majority of the $[(QP)G_0W_0$.
calculations. The plane-wave cutoff was decreased to 350 eV and the basis set for the response functions was set to 240 eV for the $G_0W_0$ calculations. Local field effects were accounted for both at the levels of Hartree and exchange–correlation potential. The SOC effects were included self-consistently by rotating all spins with respect to the spin quantization axis (0,0,1), both at the levels of PBE and HSE. For all structures, the SOC effects were incorporated continuously, during the steps of lattice optimization and computation of the RPA spectra.

Regarding the optical response beyond the independent-particle approach, the electron–hole interactions were taken into account by solving the Bethe–Salpeter equation (BSE) within the Tamm–Dancoff approximation, using the same $k$-point sampling, energy cutoff value and number of bands as in the $(QP)G_0W_0$ calculation. The excitonic effects were considered by including the eight highest occupied valence and ten lowest unoccupied conduction bands. Further to the main optical response function, the frequency dependent imaginary dielectric function $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$, layer dependent absorbance $\left[A(\omega)\right]$ can be obtained through the relation: $A(\omega) = \omega\varepsilon_2(\omega)/c$, $L$ being the distance between two periodic images of the 2D material and $c$ the speed of light.

2D antimonene and bismuthene

Atomic and electronic structures

In recent theoretical studies, three stable phases, namely hexagonal buckled (hb- or $\beta$-phase), asymmetric washboard (aw- or $\alpha$-phase), and square-octagon (so-), of 2D antimonene and bismuthene were suggested. On the other hand, the symmetric washboard (sw) structure, which is a stable phase for phosphorene and arsenene, is destabilized for antimonene and bismuthene. In the present study, we consider only the hb- and aw-phases as described in Fig. 1, and calculate their optimized atomic structure and self-consistent field electronic band structure for the sake of completeness, which constitute the basis for the present study of the optical properties.

Optimized structural parameters, such as 2D lattice constants $a$ and $b$, bond lengths $d_1$ and $d_2$, and buckling parameter $\Delta$, are presented in Table 1. Electronic energy structures of these 2D monolayers are calculated firstly within PBE–SOC, and subsequently corrected by HSE–SOC and $G_0W_0$. The standard DFT calculations incorporating SOC effects are performed only in order to provide a basis for band gap comparisons, and are out of scope in terms of the optical properties. The calculated fundamental band gaps together with the results of earlier calculations are also listed in Table 1. According to the HSE–SOC calculations, hb-Sb is an indirect band gap semiconductor with a band gap of 1.12 eV, in comparison to the 0.78 eV indirect PBE–SOC gap. This gap is predicted as 1.57 eV from the $G_0W_0$ calculations. The direct fundamental band gap of aw-Sb is relatively smaller and calculated as 0.16, 0.23 and 0.37 eV from the PBE–SOC, HSE–SOC and $G_0W_0$ calculations, respectively. Using the HSE–SOC (PBE–SOC) approach, we predict the fundamental (indirect) band gap of hb-Bi and aw-Bi structures as 0.45 (0.33) and 0.25 (0.14) eV, respectively. These values increase to 0.92 and 0.35 eV as a result of the $G_0W_0$ calculations.

In Fig. 2, the band structures of hb-Sb, aw-Sb, hb-Bi and aw-Bi calculated from HSE–SOC are presented. The fundamental band gaps calculated from $G_0W_0$ are also shaded in the same figure.

Optical absorption spectra

SL antimonene and bismuthene structures’ electronic band gaps lie within a certain range, as discussed in the previous section. This diversity in between the IR and visible regimes is quite promising in terms of their optical properties. Topological features displayed by a few of the phases promise further interesting implications for the optical spectra. In the following discussions, we present our findings regarding the linearly polarized optical response to normal incident light, obtained from the frequency dependent imaginary dielectric function $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ and layer-dependent absorbance $\left[A(\omega)\right]$ plots. The optical spectra of the hb and aw phases of SL Sb and Bi computed using the HSE + RPA approach are shown in Fig. 3 and 4. In this section we also highlight the effects of SOC on the optical response of SL Sb and Bi. We then extend our discussion by including the many-body effects. Due to the shortcomings of the standard DFT method for calculating the excited state properties, we do not include this approach in the discussion herewith.

As presented in Fig. 3(a), a main luminescence peak in the HSE + RPA in-plane optical response (i.e. light polarized along $x$, $y$ directions) for the hb-Sb phase is observed near 2.8 eV, where the onset is located slightly below 2 eV and the range of this predominant absorption phenomenon is between $2.4–3.9$ eV. The optical activity of hb-Sb continues further until 6 eV. Hence, the hb-phase of 2D Sb can be considered as a significant candidate SL material for devices operating under both visible and UV light. Regarding the out-of-plane response, i.e. light polarized along the z-axis (see Fig. 3(b)), a main single luminescence peak appears at 5.7 eV, thus indicating a
different character of optical features with respect to the in-plane optical response.

The SOC effect on the optical properties of hb-Sb, as displayed in the $e_2(o)$ and $A(o)$ plots in Fig. 3(a–c), is observed to be minor. Only a slight red-shift of the optical absorption band edge occurs once SOC is taken into account. The differences at the highest amplitude of the luminescence peaks arise from the computational artifacts. Referring to the HSE and HSE–SOC band gaps of hb-Sb near 1.2 eV, the minor onset shifts between both approaches are expected. The in-plane absorbance presented in the lower left panel shows that the main optical activity is concentrated between 2.5–6 eV. A further comment on a method-wise comparison, regarding the time-dependent Hartree Fock approach to calculating the optical spectra, is that only minor differences from the HSE–RPA spectra of SL hb-, aw-Sb and hb-, aw-Bi structures were observed.

SL hb-Bi, with an isotropic in-plane optical response, also comes out as an interesting 2D material in terms of its linear optical properties. Although the absorption band edge is located slightly above 0.5 eV (see the HSE + RPA spectrum in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$a$ (Å)</th>
<th>$b$ (Å)</th>
<th>$d_1$ (Å)</th>
<th>$d_2$ (Å)</th>
<th>$\Delta$ (Å)</th>
<th>$E_g$-PBE/PBE–SOC (eV)</th>
<th>$E_g$-HSE (eV)</th>
<th>$E_g$-HSE–SOC (eV)</th>
<th>$E_g$-G0W0 (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hb-Sb</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>−0.78(i)</td>
<td>1.70(i)</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hb-Sb30</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.26/0.99</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hb-Sb26</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.26/1.00</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hb-Sb21</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.04/0.69</td>
<td>1.55(i)</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hb-Sb27</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>−0.76(i)</td>
<td>1.55(i)</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hb-Sb24,29,46</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.10(i)/−</td>
<td>1.55(i)</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aw-Sb</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−0.16(d)</td>
<td>0.23(d)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aw-Sb30</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.22/0.21</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aw-Sb21</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.16/0.19</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aw-Sb27</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aw-Sb28</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hb-Bi</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>−0.33(i)</td>
<td>0.45(i)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hb-Bi26,29,78</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.55/0.57/0.43/26,5078/0.43/26,5078</td>
<td>0.99/29</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hb-Bi23</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>−0.51</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aw-Bi</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−0.14(i)</td>
<td>0.25(i)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aw-Bi23</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hb-Bi29</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>−0.36</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hb-BiSb</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−0.16(i)</td>
<td>1.21(i)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hb-BiSb29,46</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−0.48(d)</td>
<td>1.48(d)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aw-BiSb</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−0.15(i)</td>
<td>0.53(i)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aw-BiSb29,46</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.43(d)</td>
<td>0.43(d)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 The electronic band structure of SL hb-Sb, aw-Sb, hb-Bi and aw-Bi computed from HSE–SOC. G0W0 band gaps are shown by the shaded area. Red arrows indicate the fundamental band gaps. The zero energy is set at the top of the valence bands and Brillouin zones are shown by the insets.
Fig. 3(d)), the significant peak is set in the visible region, around 2.2 eV. However, due to bismuthene’s well-known sensitivity, as can be observed from the HSE–SOC + RPA plot, both the onset and luminescence peak are red-shifted by nearly 0.5–0.6 eV by incorporating the SOC effects. Once SOC is included in the HSE calculation, the 0.80 eV HSE band gap is reduced to 0.32 eV, hence the onset and peak red-shift is in compliance with the significantly closed band gap of SL hb-Bi.

The out-of-plane optical absorption yields highlighted peaking around 5.1 eV. As shown in Fig. 3(f), while the predominant absorbance revealed by HSE–SOC + RPA calculations is confined between ~1.5–7 eV, without SOC effects the onset is delayed to 2 eV.

aw-Sb displays rather diversified optical features in comparison to hb-Sb. Owing to the narrow band gap of aw-Sb, no clear absorption band edge is observed in the $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ especially for the HSE–SOC + RPA calculation, as evident in the left upper two panels of Fig. 4. A main difference from the hexagonal buckled phase’s optical response is the anisotropy along the x- and y-directions in the lattice. Although a kick-off in absorption occurs in the very early frequencies for both orientations, the onset is not as pronounced as in typical semiconductor photoluminescence behavior, which is even more evident in the HSE–SOC + RPA plot. The main peak in $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ along $x$ is set at around 2.3 eV, with preliminary small peaks located at 0.4 and 1.2 eV, where the optical activity occurs up until 4 eV. The significant character regarding the optical properties of aw-Sb along $y$ is the well-pronounced range of absorption between 1.1–2.7 eV, indicating a rather continuous absorption with an onset in the IR, yet extending beyond the mid-visible light region. The effect of SOC on the in-plane optical response generally refers to an extra early smaller peak, without a major modification of the overall features. Also, according to the absorbance spectra (Fig. 4(d and e)), the optical features along $x$ and $y$ are very similar in the HSE and HSE–SOC calculations, mainly concentrated between ~2–3.5 eV for the former and ~1.5–3 eV for the latter. Finally, the out-of-plane optical properties of aw-Sb indicate a strong absorption peak around 5.9 eV, which is red-shifted to 5.2 eV by the SOC inclusion, and still situated in the UV range.

Similarly, the in-plane optical anisotropy within aw-Bi is also evident (see Fig. 4(f and g)). The HSE–SOC + RPA spectrum obtained for light polarized along $x$ is rather dispersed, with an onset in the vicinity of 0-limit and a shoulder feature near 0.9 eV. This is followed by the main peak located at ~2.7 eV, and a broadened optical activity range until nearly 5 eV. On the other hand, the HSE–SOC + RPA spectrum along $y$ displays...
relatively concentrated and pronounced peaks within $\sim 0.4$–2 eV. The SOC effects red-shift the optical spectra, due to the closing band gaps. The out-of-plane response displays that without SOC, a distinct peak is observed at 4.75 eV, however including SOC leads to a dispersed spectral distribution of absorption. Finally, as can be observed in Fig. 4(i and j), the anisotropic absorbance plots of aw-Bi show that the absorbance along x is confined between $\sim 1$–3.5 eV, and along y within a slightly narrower scale; peaking roughly at 1.7 eV.

**Many-body optical properties of hb-Sb and hb-Bi**

Since Sb and Bi are group-VA elements with their 4d and 5d orbitals completely filled, respectively, many-body interactions are expected to be significant for both 2D structures, in terms of their electronic and optical properties. Hence, we extend our results in order to detect the impact of the many-body quasiparticle GW approach on the optical absorption spectra of two representative systems, hb-Sb and hb-Bi. On top of the corrected electronic energy states, i.e. the quasiparticle $G_0W_0$ energies, we account for the electron–hole interactions using the BSE, which are important due to the reduced dielectric screening based on the reduced dimensionality. We find that distinct excitonic peaks are obtained once strong direct transitions take place upon electron–hole interactions, disrupting the random phase of homogeneous transition matrix elements.

In Fig. 5 we present the optical absorption spectra of hb-Sb and hb-Bi computed from $G_0W_0 + \text{RPA}$, along with the $G_0W_0 + \text{BSE}$ plots accounting for the excitonic effects. Also, the spectroscopic features regarding these spectra, such as the absorption onset and optical gap, are tabulated in Table 2. In the upper panel of Fig. 5, the light blue curve represents the $G_0W_0 + \text{RPA}$ $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ of hb-Sb. Accordingly, the absorption band edge corresponds to $\sim 2.4$ eV. Beyond this onset, a relatively broad optical response is observed until 6–7 eV. The prominent spectral range of absorption is between 2.5–4 eV, the spectrum peaking at 2.88 eV, attributed to the interband transitions with resonant direct transition energies, covering partial visible and near UV light frequency ranges. On the other hand, the pink curve reveals a major excitonic peak located around 2.43 eV, with a narrow spectral width. Prior to the luminescence peak, an onset above 1.85 eV appears. Since the minimum electronic band gap estimated by the $G_0W_0$ method for hb-Sb was found as 1.57 eV (denoted by the vertical dashed blue line), we observe no exciton binding phenomenon according to our calculations. In Table 1 we also tabulate the results present in the literature where few previous studies$^{31,46}$ have reported higher values of $G_0W_0$ gaps.

The lower panel of Fig. 5 displays the many-body optical response of the hb-Bi structure. Unlike hb-Sb, an absorption onset of the $G_0W_0 + \text{RPA}$ plot occurs at lower photon energies, around 0.7 eV. As shown by the light blue curve, a small first peak is located at $\sim 0.9$ eV, with optical activity extending beyond the visible light range. According to the $G_0W_0 + \text{BSE}$ results shown by the pink curve, with reference to the $G_0W_0$ band gap of hb-Bi at 0.92 eV, a low exciton binding energy of $\sim 0.18$ eV was found based on the highest peak amplitude at 0.74 eV. Splitting of the excitonic peaks are observed, where we found evidence of only a first exciton binding. The onset of the first $G_0W_0 + \text{BSE}$ peak is slightly above $\sim 0.5$ eV, hence the offset of this onset from the electronic gap is around 0.4 eV. In comparison to buckled antimonene, the many-body optical response of buckled bismuthene is spectrally broader. In summary, our findings suggest that both SL hb-Sb and SL hb-Bi could be promising materials for future photovoltaic and other broadband optical applications.

### Electronic and optical properties of hb-BiSb and aw-BiSb binary compound

Having investigated the properties of pristine antimonene and bismuthene in detail, we turn our attention to the stable allotropes of SL BiSb binary compounds.$^{34,35,44,52–56}$ Analogous to its pristine components, we focus on two different stable phases of the BiSb compound, namely the hb and aw allotropes. We investigate their electronic and optical properties using the HSE–SOC approach. The implications of forming a compound of...
Sb and Bi on the resulting optoelectronic properties could shed light on the increased functionalities of both materials.

The electronic band diagrams of the hb- and aw-BiSb binary compounds are displayed in Fig. 6. Both structures were found to be narrow and indirect band gap systems, the former phase with a HSE–SOC indirect band gap of 0.51, and 0.24 eV for the latter, both values much closer to that of pristine bismuthene rather than antimonene. The closing of the HSE gaps due to the SOC effects were found as 0.7 and 0.3 eV for the hb-BiSb and aw-BiSb structures, respectively. Regarding the optical properties computed from HSE + RPA, as shown in Fig. 7, a sharp rise in the in-plane absorption of hb-BiSb occurs around 1.2 eV with a luminescence peak located at 2.5 eV, and optical activity extending until nearly 5 eV (see the uppermost panel). The absorption band edge, main peak positions and significant optical absorption range of hb-BiSb resemble those revealed by SL hb-Bi in comparison to hb-Sb, with an onset in the IR and main peak in the visible regimes. On the other hand, the out-of-plane optical response (see Fig. 7[b]) indicates main absorption peaking at ~5.4 eV. When SOC effects are included, an extra, small early peak of the in-plane absorption appears at 0.8 eV, followed by a more distinct peak at 2.1 eV. In addition, a red-shift of the overall spectrum is observed owing to the closed band gap, once SOC effects are taken into account.

With regard to the optical response of aw-BiSb (see Fig. 7[c–e]) computed from HSE + RPA, a small shoulder after 0.5 eV for light polarized along the x-direction, and a main peak with highest amplitude at nearly 2 eV are observed. On the other hand, the HSE–SOC + RPA plot reveals an earlier narrow peak at 0.38 eV, and then a larger peak with the highest amplitude at 1.71 eV. The anisotropy within the HSE + RPA in-plane optical response is evident from the rather enlarged absorption peak along the y-direction, concentrated between ~0.8–3 eV. The HSE–SOC + RPA spectrum along y yields again an extra low-frequency shoulder, along with a major absorption peak appearing at 1.67 eV. The optical activity is pursued until nearly 3 eV for both orientations of the in-plane absorption. Hence, the BiSb compound in the asymmetric washboard structure displays significant optical features within the IR and visible regimes. Concerning the SOC effects, the global red-shift of $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ is also valid for aw-BiSb, due to the reduced HSE–SOC band gap. Finally, the out-of-plane optical spectrum of aw-BiSb displays a distinct HSE + RPA peak at ~5.2 eV, which is dispersed between 4.3–5.4 eV in the case of the HSE–SOC + RPA approach.
Discussions and conclusions

In conclusion, we report that 2D antimonene and bismuthene structures with fundamental band gaps near the IR and visible light regions have promising optoelectronic properties for future broadband photonic and light modulator device applications. According to the HSE results, for hb-Sb, prominent optical absorption occurs within the visible regime with an onset of absorption at \( \sim 2 \text{ eV} \) and within the IR for hexagonal buckled Bi. For both structures, the optical activity extends beyond the visible light range. On the other hand, for all other phases except hb-Sb, absorption begins to be active in the IR region. Form the aspect of many-body effects, a systematic blue-shift of the spectral features from HSE–SOC + RPA to the quasiparticle \( G_0W_0 + \text{RPA} \) calculations is observed. A major excitonic peak for hb-Sb is observed slightly above 2.4 eV, where no apparent exciton binding is evident. In summary, hb-Sb can be considered as a significant candidate SL material for devices operating under both visible and UV light. The SOC effects on the optical properties of hb-Sb are observed to be minor. Regarding the main HSE–SOC + RPA peak along the \( \varepsilon_2(\omega) \) of aw-Sb, the prominent peak is set at around 2.3 eV, and the spectral features are active until 4 eV. Along the other in-plane crystalline orientation of aw-Sb, the well-pronounced range of absorption is between 1.1–2.7 eV, indicating a rather continuous absorption with an onset in the IR, extending beyond the mid-visible light region. In view of effective solar absorption, antimonene stands out with clear luminescence peaks concentrated in the visible range.

We also show that inclusion of spin–orbit coupling effects modifies the optical spectra of bismuthene to a significant extent. Although the absorption onset of hb-Bi is located slightly above 0.5 eV, the major peak of absorption is set in the visible frequencies, around 2.2 eV. On the other hand, both the absorption onset and luminescence peak are red-shifted by \( \sim 0.6 \text{ eV} \), when SOC effects are included. The in-plane optical response of hb-Bi is substantially affected once the electron-hole interactions are taken into account, with enhanced absorption even above the quasiparticle gap. A first exciton binding with 0.18 eV binding energy is predicted. The anisotropic optical absorbance of aw-Bi is rather confined between 1–3.5 eV along the \( x \)-direction, and within a narrower scale along \( y \), peaking at \( \sim 1.7 \text{ eV} \). Similarly, SOC leads to a red-shift of the optical spectra of aw-Bi, owing to the reduced band gap values. In general, the differences in the optical spectra caused by the SOC effects are more distinguished for the Bi phases compared to Sb. Moreover, the in-plane optical response is modified upon including the SOC effects, in a more obvious way in comparison to the out-of-plane direction. However, no clear trends regarding the differences in the optical response along the \( x \) or \( y \)-directions were observed.

The optical properties of the SL BiSb structures can either be isotropic or anisotropic, with the possibility of tuning the optoelectronic properties based on the stoichiometric mixing proportion of Sb and Bi atoms. Due to the small band gaps of the SL BiSb compound, the HSE–SOC + RPA calculations indicate early in-plane absorption band edges for both structures.

Both the hb- and aw- phases reveal optical activities spanning the visible regime, and partially extending to the UV range.

In summary, the electronic band gap, optical gap and exciton binding energies can be tuned depending on the different crystalline orientations, which could be useful for light manipulation or modulation. Furthermore, SOC effects impose different levels of alterations in the optoelectronic properties of SL pristine Sb, Bi and the binary compound BiSb. While we find an exciton binding phenomenon for the buckled phase of bismuthene, many-body effects are still prominent regarding the optoelectronic properties of 2D Sb, Bi and BiSb. We hope that this study will contribute to the knowledge necessary for next-generation devices based on 2D Sb, Bi and the BiSb compound, and for exploring new physics in ultrathin group-VA members.
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